By Shirley M. Darcus, Univ. of British Columbia (Canada)*)

A. Homer

An important psychic entity in man is $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu$, which can be described as an "organ" 1), located generally within the chest region and capable of emotional, volitional, and intellectual functions 2). Examination of the grammatical usage of $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu$ and $\varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ sheds light on one important aspect of this psychic organ: how a person relates to it. This examination shows in particular that in Homer a person often acts in close coöperation with $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ but remains distinct from

Glotta LVII 3/4

^{*)} My thanks to the Canada Council for the Research Grant that allowed me to work on this article in Oxford.

¹) In this article I follow the position of B. Snell, The Discovery of the Mind, trans. T. G. Rosenmeyer (Oxford 1953) 1–22 in his view that psychic activity in Homeric man is carried on by several separate "organs", one of which is $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$. See also his article " $\varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma - \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ", Glotta 55 (1977) 34–64.

²⁾ This article does not attempt any discussion of the nature or various functions of $\varphi \rho \acute{e} \nu \varepsilon \zeta$ themselves. Important studies of these aspects of $\varphi \rho \acute{\eta} \nu /$ φρένες in Homer include: C. Rogge, "Homerisch φρήν, φρένες und Verwandtes in neuer medizinischer und sprachpsychologsicher Beleuchtung", Archiv für die gesamte Psychologie 58 (1927) 307-324; P. Justesen, Les Principes psychologiques d'Homère (Copenhagen 1928) 1-16; J. Böhme, Die Seele und das Ich im homerischen Epos (Leipzig and Berlin 1929); O. Körner, Die ärztlichen Kenntnisse im Ilias und Odyssee (Munich 1929); V. Larock, "Les premières conceptions psychologiques des Grecs", RBPH 9 (1930) 386-387; R. Rüsche, Blut, Leben und Seele (Paderborn 1930) 27-33; R. B. Onians, The Origins of European Thought² (Cambridge 1954) 23-40; D. J. Furley, "The Early History of the Concept of the Soul", BICS 3 (1956) 2-3; P. Vivante, "Sulle designazioni Omeriche della realtà psichica", AGI 41 (1956) 113-138; E. L. Harrison, "Notes on Homeric Psychology", Phoenix 14 (1960) 64; A. W. H. Adkins, From the Many to the One (Ithaca, N. Y. 1970) 19-20; E. Walter-Karydi, Ένα φρεσὶ θυμὸν ἔχοντες", Gymnasium 81 (1974) 177-181; S. Ireland and F. Steel, "Φρένες as an Anatomical Organ in the Works of Homer", Glotta 53 (1975) 183-194; Snell, Glotta 55 (1977) 34-64 (note 1). See also S. Darcus, "The Phren of the Noos in Xenophanes' God", SO 53 (1978) 25-30 for a treatment of the nature of $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ in the early Greek writers.

them just as from his other psychic organs³). The following analysis will treat all instances of $\varphi \varphi \dot{\eta} v$ or $\varphi \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \varepsilon$ in Homer that occur in the dative, accusative, or nominative cases (no instance of the genitive being found); it will discuss the relationship between man and this psychic entity which these passages reveal.

I. Dative

 $Φ_{\varrho}\dot{\eta}\nu$ in the dative singular is found only once in Homer, where it follows the verb μέλω (Od. 6.65). $Φ_{\varrho}\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ occurs frequently in the dative plural after a preposition, indicating the location of some emotional, volitional or intellectual activity. These prepositions include $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\ell^4$), $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\ell^5$), $μετά^6$), and $πε\varrho\ell$ (Π. 16.157). $Φ_{\varrho}\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ appears also with a preposition as the seat of other psychic organs: $\dot{\eta}\tau ο\varrho$, $\nu\acute{\epsilon}ο\varsigma$, and $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{\epsilon}\varsigma$.

Φρένες occurs as well in the dative plural without a preposition. First this dative occurs when the noun is governed by certain verbs: for example, καίνυμαι (Π. 13.431), μέλω (Π. 24.152; 24.181; Od. 7.208), πείθω⁸), and χράομαι⁹). In three instances φρεσί is a locative dative in a double dative construction with the verbs: ἀνδάνω (Od. 14.337), ἐμβάλλω (Π. 19.88) and ἐμπνέω (Od. 19.138).

Other instances of this dative without a preposition are strongly locative in nature. This is understandable since $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ in Homer have still a markedly physical nature ¹⁰). But very many may also be

³⁾ Contrast Böhme (note 2) 50-52, who believes that in some cases the "Ich" can be identified with $\varphi g \acute{e} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$.

⁴⁾ Evl is found very frequently. See, e.g., Il. 1.333; 2.301; 8.366; 9.423; 13.55; 16.530; 18.88; 21.145; Od. 2.363; 4.843; 6.140; 9.419; 14.273; 16.299; 24.128. Concerning the reading of èvl in Od. 16.282 see M. L. West, "Conjectures on 46 Greek Poets", Philologus 110 (1966) 147-149.

⁵⁾ Επί: Π. 1.55; 8.218; 14.165; Od. 5.427; 15.234; 18.158; 21.1.

⁶⁾ Μετά: Π. 4.245; 9.434-435; 14.264; 18.419; 18.463; 19.29; 19.213; 19.343; 20.310; 23.600; Od. 4.825; 10.438; 13.362; 16.436; 17.470; 24.357; 24.435.

⁷⁾ $\eta \tau \sigma \varrho$: Π. 8.413; 16.242; 17.111; 19.169. $H \tau \sigma \varrho$ and $\varkappa \eta \varrho$ are found once with the simple dative $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu$: Od. 13.320 and Od. 18.345. $ν \delta \sigma \varsigma$: Π. 18.419 ($\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$). $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\sigma} \varsigma$: see, e.g., Π. 8.202; 9.462; 10.232; 21.386; 22.357; Od. 15.165; 16.73; 20.38 ($\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{\iota}$). $\Theta \nu \mu \dot{\sigma} \varsigma$ is found once with the simple dative $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \iota$: Π. 13.487.

⁸⁾ *Il.* 9.119. See below notes 20 and 27.

⁹⁾ $Od.\ 3.266$; 14.421; 16.398. Cf. also $Π.\ 20.381$ (ξυνυμι); $Od.\ 1.328$ (συντίθημι); 10.553 (ἀραρίσκω).

¹⁰) See especially Onians (note 2), Ireland and Steel (note 2), and Darcus (note 2) for discussion on the physical nature of $\varphi g \dot{\eta} v$.

instances of what Kühner describes as a "komitativ-instrumental" dative: "die Person oder Sache, mit der zusammen (unter deren Mitwirkung oder Gegenwirkung) eine Handlung vor sich geht" 11). Φρένες appear to be a psychic organ not only "in" which but also in the "company" of which and by "means" of which a person is able to perform various psychic functions 12). The activities of βνσσοδομεύω (Od. 8.273; 17.66), γιγνώσκω (Π. 24.563; Od. 1.420; 22.501), μερμηρίζω (Od. 1.427; 11.204; 22.333), μήδομαι (Π. 21.19; 23.176), νοέω 13), οίδα 14), and δρμαίνω 15) are found φρεσί. Likewise φρένες in this case is found with verbs expressing anger 16), courage (Π. 24.171), desire 17), endurance (Od. 19.347), joy 18), madness (Π. 8.360), pity (Π. 11.794; 16.36), and shame 19). In all these passages a person acts in, with, or by his φρένες.

A formulaic expression well reveals this relationship in which a person acts by and/or with his φρένες: φρεσὶ γὰρ κέχρητ' ἀγαθῆσιν (Od. 3.266; 14.421; 16.398). The ambiguity in meaning of χράομαι illustrates the combination of comitative and instrumental. Χράομαι can mean "use"; this meaning implies "means". It can also mean "experience" or "be endowed with"; this meaning implies a comitative aspect of the verb. The comitative-instrumental dative suggests that φρένες are subordinate to the person since they are either "used by" or act "in accord with" him. With respect to the dative,

¹¹) R. Kühner, Ausführliche Grammatik der griechischen Sprache³, revised by F. Blass and B. Gerth (Hannover, Hahn 1890, 1904) Vol. 2¹, 430. Cf. P. Chantraine, Grammaire homèrique (Paris 1953) Vol. 2, 74–77, and H. W. Smyth, Greek Grammar², revised by G. M. Messing (Cambridge, Mass. 1956) 346–349.

¹²⁾ A clear instance of the comitative dative occurs when Poseidon says that he will not live $(\beta \acute{e}o\mu a\iota)$ "in accordance with" or "in company with" the $\varphi \varrho \acute{e}v \varepsilon \varsigma$ of Zeus (II. 15.194). Here the god does not act with his own $\varphi \varrho \acute{e}v \varepsilon \varsigma$ but refuses to act in accompaniment with the $\varphi \varrho \acute{e}v \varepsilon \varsigma$ of another.

¹³⁾ Π . 9.600; 15.81; 22.235; Od. 1.322.

¹⁴) Π . 2.213; 5.326; 17.325; 24.197; Od. 19.248.

¹⁵) *Il.* 10.4; 16.435; *Od.* 3.151. See also below note 19.

¹⁶) Π. 16.61; 19.127. Cf. Π. 2.241 (χόλος).

¹⁷) *Il.* 14.221; *Od.* 2.34; 6.180; 15.111; 17.355.

¹⁸) Il. 13.609; 19.19; 19.174; Od. 5.74; 8.368.

¹⁹⁾ Π. 10.237. Πένθος (Od. 7.219; 11.195) and βίη (Π. 3.45) are also found φρεσί. The dative φρεσί (in the meaning of "in", "with", or "by means of") occurs also with the verbs ἀάω (Od. 21.301); βονλεύω (Od. 1.444); δάω (Od. 8.448); εἶπον (Π. 17.260); ἐκλανθάνω (Od. 10.557); ἐπίσταμαι (Π. 14.92; Od. 8.240); έρὑω (Od. 16.459); ἔχω (Π. 2.33; 2.70; 24.114; 24.135; 24.282; 24.674; Od. 17.238; 19.353); ϑὑω (Π. 1.342); παραπείϑω (Od. 14.290); τιτύσκω (Π. 13.558; Od. 8.556).

only in this expression and in one passage with the verb $\pi \epsilon i \vartheta \omega$ (II. 9.119) does a person act directly with his own $\varphi \varrho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma^{20}$). In both cases a close harmony of person and $\varphi \varrho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ is suggested.

II. Accusative

As with the dative case, $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} v$ and $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} v \varepsilon \varsigma$ appear in the accusative with prepositions, indicating a location of various emotional, volitional, or intellectual activities: $\varkappa \alpha \tau \acute{\alpha}^{21}$) and $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \acute{\iota}$ (Π . 10.139; 11.89; Od. 9.362). Similarly in three passages $\vartheta v \mu \acute{\epsilon} \varsigma$ is gathered $\grave{\epsilon} \varsigma \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} v \alpha$ (Π . 22.475; Od. 5.458; 24.349).

Φρήν and φρένες are found several times in the accusative without a preposition. They occur as the object of the action of some verb. In almost all cases the subject of the verb is an agent or influence other than the person possessing the φρένες. In some instances φρένες are directly affected. Love deceives $(Od.\ 15.421)$ and μῦθος bites $(Π.\ 5.493)$ φρένες. Ships can know the φρένες of men $(Od.\ 8.559)$. In other instances a person as a whole is affected but specifically in his φρένες (accusative of part). Love surrounds $(Π.\ 3.442;\ 14.294)$, ἄτη seizes $(Π.\ 16.805;\ cf.\ Od.\ 21.297)$, pain covers 22), πόνος surrounds $(Π.\ 6.355)$, and wine holds a person in his φρένες 23). Πένθος and πινυτή come to a person in his φρένες $(Π.\ 1.362;\ 18.73;\ Od.\ 20.228)$ and both χάρμα and ἄλγος can seize him there $(Od.\ 19.471)$.

Φρένες occurs as the object of the following verbs of which the gods are subject: ἐξαιρέω ²⁴), ὅλλυμι (Π. 7.360; 12.234), and πίμ-πλημι ²⁵). A god can also harm (βλάπτω) a person specifically in his φρένες (accusative of part: Π. 15.724; Od. 14.178). Φρήν/φρένες are subject to the persuasion of another person or a god ²⁶). A god can

²⁰) For a full discussion of \mathbb{R} . 9.119 see my article "An Echo of Homer in Pindar, *Pythians* 4", *TAPA* 107 (1977) 17–21.

²¹) See, e.g., Π. 1.193; 11.411; 17.106; 20.264; Od. 4.120; 6.118; 15.211 for the frequent formulaic expression: κατὰ φρένα καὶ κατὰ θυμόν. See also Π. 1.555; 9.244; 15.61; Od. 24.353.

²²) Π . 8.124; 8.316; 17.83; Od. 8.541.

²³) Od. 18.331 and 18.391. Cf. Od. 9.454.

²⁴) *Il.* 6.234; 9.377; 17.470; 18.311; 19.137.

²⁵⁾ Il. 17.573. Gods easily influence φρένες, man himself being very much an "open-field". See especially Snell, Discovery (note 1) 31, 43, 61; H. Fränkel, Dichtung und Philosophie des frühen Griechentums² (Munich 1962) 85–90; J. Russo and B. Simon, "Homeric Psychology and the Oral Epic Tradition", JHI 29 (1968) 485–486.

²⁶) R. 4.104; 6.61; 7.120; 9.184; 12.173; 13.788; 16.842; Od. 1.42–43.

also deceive $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ (Od. 13.327). One person can despise another's $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ (Π . 14.95; 17.173).

In two passages Athena grants persons ἔργα τ' ἐπίστασθαι περικαλλέα καὶ φρένας ἐσθλὰς (Od. 2.117; 7.111). Clearly this knowledge of ἐσθλαὶ φρένες involves the possession of wisdom. Only in these two instances of the accusative is the subject affecting φρένες the owner of them and even here the expression suggests more possession of than influence upon φρένες.

The general absence of passages where a person affects his own $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ or $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ suggests a relationship of harmony between person and this psychic entity. A person acts with or by $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$; he does not act upon or control them, a form of statement that, if found, would imply possible opposition between person and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma^{27}$).

The remaining instances of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ or $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon$ in the accusative are apparently ones of "accusative of respect": the action of a verb takes place with respect to $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon$ as location of that action 28). The accusative $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\alpha$ occurs with the following verbs in the active or middle voice: $\gamma\acute{\alpha}\nu\nu\mu\alpha\iota$ (Π . 13.493), $\gamma\acute{\eta}\vartheta\omega$ (Π . 8.559; 11.683; Od. 6.106; 24.382), $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\varrho\piο\mu\alpha\iota$ (Π . 20.23; Od. 4.102), $\tau\varrhoο\mu\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ (Π . 15.627), $\chi\alpha\acute{\epsilon}\varrho\omega$ (Π . 6.481), and $\chiο\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ (Od. 6.147). In one passage Odysseus says that he may be thought $\beta\epsilon\beta\alpha\varrho\eta\acute{\epsilon}\tau\alpha$. . . $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon$ from grief 29). In another, Achilles wastes away in his $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon$ from grief 29).

This same use of the accusative appears with verbs in the passive voice: $\delta a\mu \acute{a} \zeta \omega$ (Od. 9.454), $\dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \pi a \tau \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (Od. 18.327), $\pi \lambda \acute{\eta} \tau \tau \omega$ (\$\mathbb{I}\$. 13.394; 16.403), and $\tau \acute{e} \varrho \pi \omega$ (\$\mathbb{I}\$. 1.474; 9.186; Od. 8.131; 17.174). It also appears in the formulaic expression: $\pi \epsilon \varrho \ilimits_{\ell} \varrho \acute{e} \nu a \varsigma \it{e} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota \it{e} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ (\$\mathbb{I}\$. 13.631; 17.171). Likewise it is found in passages where other aspects of man are mentioned: with $\varrho \nu \acute{\eta} \nu$ and $\emph{a} \nu \varrho \varrho \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ (Od. 8.168), with $\epsilon \emph{l} \delta o \varsigma$ and $\mu \acute{e} \nu \epsilon \vartheta o \varsigma^{30}$).

These instances of "accusative of respect" suggest a close relationship of person and $\varphi \rho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$. The activity of the person is spe-

²⁷⁾ An exception is Π . 9.119 where Agamemnon "puts his trust in his $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu \varepsilon\varsigma$ ". This passages does not suggest any control over $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu \varepsilon\varsigma$ but rather a trust in their activity. For a full discussion of this passage see my article (note 20). On Od. 3.266, 14.421, and 16.398 ($\chi\varrho\acute{a}o\mu a\iota$) see above Section I, "Dative".

²⁸⁾ Chantraine (note 11) Vol. 2, 46–47, refers to this accusative usage as an "accusatif de relation". Cf. Smyth² (note 11) 360–361. See also the instances of "accusative of part" above.

²⁹) Π . 18.446. Cf. Π . 15.128 and Od. 2.243 where one is "crazed" in his $\varphi \varphi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$.

³⁰⁾ Od. 11.337; 18.249. Cf. Il. 1.115 and Od. 4.264.

cifically related to $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ which probably share in that activity. Again, as with the locative and comitative-instrumental datives, $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ are somehow subordinate to the person but take part in this activity.

III. Nominative

In contrast to the many instances of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon_{\varsigma}$ in the dative and accusative, relatively few occurrences of the nominative are found. When the word does occur, it is found usually in the plural, not in the singular. $\Phi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ in the nominative singular occurs only once: Zeus' $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ ($\Delta\iota\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$) is "turned away" (Π . 10.45). Since this expression occurs this time only, it may be a variant of another more common expression in Homer: $\nu\acute{o}o_{\varsigma}$ $\Delta\iota\acute{o}_{\varsigma}^{31}$). Its appearance here may have been influenced by Agamemnon's next statement that Zeus has "placed his $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\alpha$ on the sacrifices of Hector" (46). It is significant that in this one passage of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ in the nominative, it does not act but is acted upon.

In only one instance does $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ in the singular appear as the subject of a verb with an active meaning: $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ as the subject of an acrist middle infinitive ($\dot{\epsilon}\varkappa\lambda\epsilon\lambda\alpha\vartheta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\vartheta\alpha\iota$) can forget $\dot{\epsilon}\iota\dot{\epsilon}\varrho\pi\rho\nu$ oiζύος ($I\!\!I$. 6.285). The fact that $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ appears so rarely in the nominative singular may show that it was generally not seen as an independent active agent.

Φρένες appear in the nominative plural in three passages which suggest their physical location 32). Φρένες "hold" (ἔχω) the liver (Od. 9.301). They are "enclosed around" (ἔρχαται) the $\varkappa \tilde{\eta} \varrho$ (Π . 16.481) 33). They "follow" (ἔπω) the sword as it is drawn out of the chest region (Π . 16.504).

In the three following passages φρένες in the nominative plural are active. In *Iliad* 24.201 they "have departed" (οἶχομαι), i.e., they have ceased to function within man. In *Iliad* 3.101, they "flutter about", i.e., they "are frivolous" (ἠρέθομαι). In *Iliad* 10.10 Agamemnon's φρένες τρομέοντο.

Φρένες occurs as the subject of two verbs in the passive voice. They are "set affutter" (πτοιέω: Od. 22.298). They are filled (πίμπλημι) with μένος, (Π. 1.103; Od. 4.661-662).

³¹) See, e.g., Il. 14.252; 15.242; 15.461; 17.176; Od. 5.103.

³²⁾ See above note 10.

⁸⁸) Cf. Od. 18.345 where $\varkappa \tilde{\eta} \varrho$ is found $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i$.

In the remaining instances where $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}ve\varsigma$ occurs in the nominative, it is subject of a copulative verb. The $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}ve\varsigma$ of Antinoos are no match for his beauty $(Od.\ 17.454)$. No $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}ve\varsigma$ are present in the dead $(\Pi.\ 23.\ 104)$. $\Phi\varrho\acute{e}ve\varsigma$ occurs with a copulative verb, $e\grave{\iota}\mu\acute{\iota}$, with these epithets: $\grave{a}\gamma a\vartheta a\acute{\iota}$ $(Od.\ 24.\ 194)$, $\grave{a}\varkappa e\sigma\tau a\acute{\iota}$ $(\Pi.\ 13.\ 115)$, $\check{e}\mu\pi e\delta o\iota(\Pi.\ 6.\ 352;\ Od.\ 10.\ 493;\ 18.\ 215)$, $\grave{e}va\iota\sigma\iota\mu o\iota$ $(\Pi.\ 24.\ 40;\ Od.\ 18.\ 220)$, $\grave{e}\sigma\vartheta\lambda a\acute{\iota}$ $(Od.\ 11.\ 367)$, $\mathring{\eta}\beta a\iota a\acute{\iota}$ $(\Pi.\ 14.\ 141;\ Od.\ 21.\ 288)$, $\sigma\tau\varrho\epsilon\pi\tau a\acute{\iota}$ $(\Pi.\ 15.\ 203)$. These epithets appear to have an evaluative nature. Here again a close relationship between person and $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}ve\varsigma$ is indicated: $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}ve\varsigma$ are distinct from the person possessing them but reveal to some extent the nature of that person.

The nominative usage suggests that $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ were seldom seen as independent agens. Rather, they were organs that usually acted in harmony with or was used by their owner. In only six passages do $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ have a somewhat independent nature. Two of these emphasize their physical nature: they hold the liver or follow the sword. In two other passages $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu$ can forget and $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ can be afraid. Both these actions are more properly termed "passions": forgetfulness and fear are states that $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ undergo. In the remaining two passages the activity is negative. $\Phi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ "depart", i.e., they cease to function properly: $\Phi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ "flutter about", i.e., they act foolishly. These six instances reveal that independent activity of $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu$ or $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ was rare but that when it did occur, it could have an adverse effect upon the person.

IV. Conclusion

The grammatical usage suggests that Homer saw φρήν and φρένες in four principal ways.

- (1) The location where a person performed certain emotional, volitional, and intellectual functions (cases where a preposition is used with the noun in the accusative or dative or where an accusative of respect or locative dative is found).
- (2) An accompaniment or instrument by which and in the company of which a person acted (comitative-instrumental dative).
- (3) The object of some activity signified by a verb with subject generally not the owner of $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ themselves (accusative or dative after a verb).
- (4) An entity qualified by certain characteristics (epithets modifying $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\epsilon\varsigma$). Homer rarely sees $\varphi\varrho\eta\nu$ or $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ as an independent active agent (six passages only).

The relationship between a person and his $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ is one of coöperation: he acts in, in company with, or by means of them. Activity takes place in $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ or is performed by or with $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ which are not simply a location but take part in various psychic functions. When $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ function well, man has a means or accompaniment on which he can rely. When they function badly because "lost" or "damaged" 34), man loses this means or accompaniment.

Generally there is no opposition between $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ and their owner, although when $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ act independently, they can have an adverse effect on the person. But this happens only occasionally. In contrast, $\mathring{\eta}\tau\varrho\varrho$, $\varkappa\varrho\alpha\delta(\eta)$, or $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{\varrho}\zeta$ can order 35) or stir up 36) a person. He can also address these psychic organs in a way that clearly suggests an opposition between him and them 37). $\varPhi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ are less independent that these. They remain subordinant to the person, being principally a psychic organ in which, with which, and by which he acts.

B. Hesiod and the Greek Lyric Poets

An examination of the grammatical usage of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ in Hesiod and the lyric poets reveals aspects of how a person related to this psychic organ³⁸). To some extent the relationship between person and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ remains similar to that found in Homer but it also undergoes some change. Although evidence for the lyric poets is fragmentary in nature, it is sufficient to allow analysis and some general conclusions³⁹).

³⁴) R. 6.234; 9.377; 13.394; 15.724; 18.311; Od. 14.178; 18.327.

³⁵⁾ Κελεύω: Π. 13.784; Od. 8,204; 14.517; 15.339 etc. ($\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ and $\varkappa \varrho a \delta l \eta$); Π. 10.534; 12.300; 16.382; Od. 4.140; 9.278; 16.81 etc. ($\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$). Ανώγω: Od. 15.395 ($\varkappa \varrho a \delta l \eta$); Π. 4.263; 7.74; Od. 5.89; 16.141 etc. ($\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$).

³⁶⁾ Ότρύνω: Π. 10.220; 10.319; Od. 18.61 (κραδίη and θυμός); Π. 6.439; 15.43 etc. (θυμός).

³⁷⁾ κραδίη: Od. 20.18 (vocative); Od. 20.17 (ἐνίπτω); ἤτορ: Od. 20.22 (καθάπτω); θνμός: II. 11.403; 17.90; 18.5; <math>Od. 5.298; 5.464 etc. (εἶπον).

³⁸⁾ For φεήν/φεένες in Hesiod and the lyric poets see especially Furley, Harrison, Adkins, and Snell, Glotta 55 (1977) 34-64 (above, notes 1 and 2). See also E. Lobel, AΛΚΑΙΟΥ ΜΕΛΗ (Oxford 1927) xxxvi; V. N. Jarcho, "Zum Menschenbild der nachhomerischen Dichtung", Philologus 112 (1968) 166-172; S. M. Darcus, "Noos Precedes Phren in Greek Lyric Poetry", AC 46 (1977) 41-51.

³⁹) Fragments of the different poets are numbered according to the following editions: *Hesiodi Theogonia*, *Opera et Dies*, *Scutum*, ed. F. Solmsen

GLOTTA

Zeitschrift für griechische und lateinische Sprache

Herausgegeben von

Hartmut Erbse, Hansjakob Seiler und Klaus Strunk

LVII. Band



GÖTTINGEN · VANDENHOECK & RUPRECHT · 1979

Begründet von Paul Kretschmer und Franz Skutsch Nach 1945 fortgesetzt von Paul Kretschmer und Bruno Snell

Printed in Germany by Hubert & Co., Göttingen

Inhalt

Baldwin, B., senator or Senator? Procopius, De aed. 1,3. 14	227
Blümel, W., Zur historischen Morphosyntax der Verbalabstrakta im Latei-	
nischen	77
Braswell, B. G., Ζαμενής: A lexicographical Note on Pindar	182
Darcus, S. M., A Person's Relation to φρήν in Homer etc	159
Darcus, S. M., A Person's Relation to ψυχή in Homer etc	30
Durling, R.J., Lexicographical Notes on Galen's Pharmacological Writings	218
Edgeworth, R. J., Does "purpureus" mean "bright"	281
Erasmi, G., The Saturnian and Livius Andronicus	125
Fischer, KD., Three lexicographical Notes	224
Godel, R., Le subjonctif latin duim	230
Janko, A., The Etymology of σχερός and ἐπισχερώ	20
Janko, R., The Use of πρός, προτί and ποτί in Homer	24
Kinsey, T. E., The Meaning of interea in Virgil's Aeneid	259
Klug, W., Stil als inhaltliche Verdichtung (zu Tac. ann. XIII 1-2)	267
Knobloch, J., Die slavisch-griechische Benennung der Schwarzdrossel	76
Krischer, T., Die Rolle der irrealen Bedingungssätze in der Geschichte	
des griechischen Denkens	39
Lehmann, Chr., Zur Typologie des Lateinischen	237
Most, G. W., Noch einmal Epitrochasmos	149
Murru, F., Due ulteriori definizioni dell'octavus casus	155
Neitzel, H., ἄγκαθεν, der Wächter und der Hund	191
Neitzel, H., Überlegungen zur Bedeutung von ἀποφώλιος	1
Opelt, I., Zur politischen Polemik des Redners Andokides	210
Penella, R. J., Facinorosus at Livy 1.50.7	266
Schmidt, M., Hom. μῆλα und die antiken Erklärungen	174
Teodorsson, ST., Phonological Variation in Classical Attic etc	61
Theodoridis, Chr., Zum Lexicon des Photios	228
Treloar, A., Two Notes on Chromatius	292
Untermann, J., Literaturbericht Italische Sprachen I	293
Watt, W. S., The Plural of aliquis	254

I. Prepositions

Φρήν and φρένες occur with several prepositions followed by the dative, accusative, or genitive case. First, dative. Ένὶ φρεσί are found ἦτορ (Sol. 4c1; Theog. 122), θνμός (Hes., Theog. 239; 549; W. & D. 381), and the ἦθος of an ἰκτῖνος ἀγχίστροφος (Theog. 1261). Ενὶ φρεσί occur the activities of βάλλω (Hes., W. & D. 107; Theog. 1050), μέλω (Hes., W. & D. 531), νοέω (Sem. 7.27), and οἰδα (Theog. 135). Medea burns with love and Achilles fixes a plan ἐν φρασί (Pind., Pyth. 4.219; Nem. 3.62). Μετὰ φρεσί occur the activities of βάλλω (Hes., W. & D. 274), ἐργάζομαι (Theog. 733), νοέω (Hes., Theog. 488), and φράζω (Hes., W. & D. 688; fr. 283). Πήματα are placed περὶ φρεσί (Sim. 95 b 3 [D]); ἀμπλακίαι hang ἀμφὶ φρασίν (Pind., Ol. 7.24–26).

Second, accusative. Zeus is angry $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu a \varsigma \ \mathring{a} \mu \varphi \acute{\iota}$ (Hes., Theog. 554). Té $\varphi \psi \iota \varsigma$ comes $\mathring{\epsilon} \pi \mathring{\iota} \ \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu a \varsigma \ \mathring{\epsilon}^{40}$). Solon says that Athens will never be destroyed $\varkappa a \tau \mathring{a} \ \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu a \varsigma$ of the immortal gods (4.2).

Third, genitive. The physical connotation of φρένες is suggested when Pindar speaks of a sword being thrust διὰ φρενῶν (Nem. 7.26). ἄλγεα are found φρενὸς ἔνδοθεν (Sol. 4a1). Simonides speaks of a good report coming ἀπὸ φρενός (519; fr. 35 b 10 [D]). Pindar speaks of sending forth ἀιστοὺς ἐκ φρενός (Ol. 2.90); he mentions the ὀρθὰ ὁδὸς πραγμάτων being drawn ἐξ φρενῶν (Ol. 7.46–47). Pindar also speaks of a tongue not ἔξω φρενῶν (Is. 6.72; cf. Pae. 7a5).

All these instances of $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ with prepositions show that they were seen as a location. In them are found other psychic organs, different verbal activities, and various emotions such as pain, joy, and suffering. These passages reveal $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ as a psychic organ where a person can feel emotion or carry on different psychic activities.

⁽Oxford 1970); Fragmenta Hesiodea, ed. R. Merkelbach and M. L. West (Oxford 1967); Anthologia Lyrica Graeca, ed. E. Diehl, fasc. 1 (Leipzig 1951), fasc. 3 (Leipzig 1952) = (D) [for poets not treated in West]; Iambi et Elegi Graeci, ed. M. L. West (Oxford 1971), 2 volumes = (W); Poetae Melici Graeci, ed. D. L. Page (Oxford 1962); Supplementum Lyricis Graecis, ed. D. L. Page (Oxford 1974) = (S); Poetarum Lesbiorum Fragmenta, ed. E. Lobel and D. L. Page (Oxford 1955) [for Sappho and Alcaeus]; Pindari Carmina cum Fragmentis, ed. B. Snell (Leipzig 1964), Parts I and II; Bacchylidis Carmina cum Fragmentis, post B. Snell, ed. H. Maehler (Leipzig 1970). See also below note 52.

⁴⁰) Theog. 787. Cf. Tbyc. S 171.2: ἐπὶ φρένας.

Shirley M. Darcus

II. Dative

Φρήν/φρένες occur quite frequently in the dative case in Hesiod and the lyric poets. In some instances, the dative is found after the verb, in the singular with μίγνυμι (Pind., Pyth. 5.19), in the plural with ἀμφέπω (Pind., Pyth. 3.108), ὁμιλέω (Pind., Is. 3.5–6), πείθω (Pind., Pyth. 4.109), and χράομαι (Theog. 161). In two of these passages a person acts directly with his own φρένες: many "use" or "are endowed with" δειλαὶ φρένες (χράομαι); Peleus "trusts" his white φρένες (πείθω) 41). With these same two verbs a person in Homer likewise could have a direct relationship with his φρένες.

Other instances of the dative may be locative in nature or also, as in Homer, examples of the comitative-instrumental dative. A person performs functions not merely in but also by and in the company of qoéres. The activities of the following verbs occur in, by, or with φρήν (singular): βουλεύω (Theog. 1052), διακρίνω (Pind., Ol. 8.24), $\partial \omega$ (Pind., Nem. 10.29). One shows forth the "best part of wisdom" ἐλευθέρα φρενί (Pind., Pyth. 2.57), guides a chariot ἀταρβεῖ φρενί (Pind., Pyth. 5.51), hears after death with a χθονία φρενί (Pind., Pyth. 5.101), and attends to μυρία φρενί (fr. 11.4). Man cannot trace out the plans of the gods βροτέα φρενί. The dative singular of φρήν occurs also in one passage apparently as a "dative of description": if a person could see the vóos, he could consider a man his friend $d\delta\delta\lambda\omega$ $\varphi\rho\varepsilon\nu\ell^{42}$). The activities of the following verbs occur in, by, or with φρένες (plural): γήθω (Hes., Theog. 173), ἔραμαι (Pind., Pyth. 2.26), μαστεύω (Pind., Pyth. 3.59), νοέω (Theog. 1008), olδa (Hes., fr. 276.2; cf. fr. 43a9), ὄσσομαι (Hes., fr. 1.10), ὀτρύνω (Stesich. S 88i22), χολόω (Hes., W. & D. 47). One holds γνώμην φρεσίν (Theog. 1173), checks κόρος φρασίν (Pind., Is. 3.2), and guides τὰ [πὰρ χειρὸς δι]καίαισι φρένεσσιν (Baech. 14.10-11).

These instances of the dative of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ without a preposition can be construed as locative or comitative-instrumental. If locative, $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ retain their traditional role as location. If comitative-instrumental, $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ are not only an instrument used by the person but also an accompaniment to the person. $\varPhi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ are likely subordinant to him but at the same time are active in themselves. Person and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ coöperate in some activity.

⁴¹⁾ On Pyth. 4.109 see Darcus (note 20).

⁴²⁾ Scol. 889.4. On this scolion see Darcus (note 38).

III. Accusative

The accusative of φρήν and φρένες is found very frequently in Hesiod and the lyric poets. As in Homer φρήν/φρένες occur as an "accusative of respect" with the following verbs. $\Phi_{\varrho}\dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ in the singular: ἀσῶντα φρένα (Theog. 593), ἀσῶ φρένα (Theog. 657), λέπταν φρένα . . . βόρηται (Sapph. 96.17), φρένα ἰανθείς (Baech. 17.131), and φ[ρ]ένα τερπόμενος (Bacch. 16.7). Φρένες (plural): φρένας ἐπτοέαται (Anacr. 346.12), οἴνω συγκεραυνωθεὶς φρένας (Arch. 120.2), and ἐτάρφθεν φρένα(ς) (Alcman 7.5). This accusative (in the plural) also occurs with two epithets, ἀφνειός and δείλαιος 43). This use of the accusative indicates that an activity takes place in respect to poéves which likely share in it. $\Phi \rho \dot{\eta} v / \varphi \rho \dot{\epsilon} v a \zeta$ seem subordinant to the person, providing a location for his activity to which they also contribute. In one instance a person is specifically affected in his $\varphi_0 \notin \nu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{E}}$ by an outside influence: cares harass them (accusative of part: Mim. 1.7). In others, φρήν/φρένες are the direct objects of the following influences. Κέρδος does violence to φρένα⁴⁴). Love steals, shakes, bites, or conquers φρένας 45). Hope hangs about φρένας (Pind., Is. 2.43); hope of love sets them aflutter (Bacch. fr. 20B8). Shafts of song enchant φρένας (Pind., Pyth. 1.12). Γαστήρ can lead φρένας to shamelessness (Arch. 124b). Poverty harms φρένας but wealth increases them 46). The physical connotation of quéres is suggested when the north-wind bites them and when a sea-shell puffs them up (Sim. 6.3 [W]; Alc. 359 [Z 36.2]).

In other examples of the accusative, the subject of the verb expressing some influence on $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ may be a personal agent. A person chills Sappho's $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu a$ (48.2). Cyrnus is bid not to beguile the $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu a$ of Theognis (981). Elsewhere Theognis tells Cyrnus that he will recognise the $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu a \varsigma$ of $o i \zeta \nu \varrho o i \dot{a} \nu \delta \varrho \acute{e} \varsigma$ (65). Theognis also speaks of the impossibility of putting $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu a \varsigma \dot{e} \sigma \vartheta \lambda \acute{a} \varsigma$ into a man or of curing $\dot{a} \tau \eta \varrho \dot{a} \varsigma \varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu a \varsigma$ (429; 433).

In some cases the agent affecting this psychic organ is a god. Prometheus deceives Zeus' $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\varsigma$ (Hes., W. & D. 55); Zeus himself removes $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\varsigma$ (Hes., fr. 69). Hera yokes $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\varsigma$ to an "overmaster-

⁴⁸) Hes. W & D. 455; Hipp. 36.4. Cf. also the one instance of the accusative of part below.

⁴⁴⁾ Bacch. fr. 1.1. On the relation of κέρδος and φρήν see Darcus (note 20).

 ⁴⁵⁾ Respectively: Arch. 191.3; Sapph. 47.2; Pind., Pyth. 10.60; Theog.
1388. Cf. Ibyc. 286.13 where love has some effect upon φρένες.

⁴⁶) Theog. 387; Bacch. 1.162. Cf. Pind. fr. 124.11 where φρένες are increased but the subject of the verb is missing.

ing frenzy" (Bacch. 11.45–46). Zeus deceives the $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}va\varsigma$ of Metis (Hes., *Theog.* 889). Bacchylides asks Kleio to guide astraight his $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}va\varsigma$ (12.3). Glaucus is asked who of the gods turned his $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}va\varsigma$; Lycambes is asked who stole his ⁴⁷).

In some passages of the lyric poets the subject of the verb expressing influence upon $\varphi \rho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ is the person possessing them. In contrast, in Homer, a person generally had little influence upon his own φρένες. First, the singular. Sappho says that she has an άβάκην φρένα (120). Mimnermus says: τὴν σαυτοῦ φρένα τέρπε (7.1). Theognis twice speaks of a person giving delight to his own φρένα (795; 921). Bacchylides bids Hieron to free his φρένα from μέριμναι (5.6). Second, the plural. Anacreon speaks of a person having fearful φρένας (346 fr. 1.3). Theognis asks Cyrnus not to hold $(\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega)$ his $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu a \varsigma$ and $\nu \acute{o} o \nu \, \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta^{48}$). Theognis also tells the $\pi a \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ whom he addresses to conquer $(\delta \acute{a}\mu r\omega)$ his $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} ra\varsigma$ (1285). Poseidon overcomes his φρένας with *lμερος* (Pind., Ol. 1.41). In these passages different relationships between a person and φρήν/φρένες are revealed. He can have this psychic organ in a certain state ("speechless", "fearful"). He can delight, master, or conceal $(\xi \chi \omega \ldots \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta) \varphi \rho \epsilon \nu \alpha / \varphi \rho \epsilon \nu \alpha \varsigma$; he can free φρένας from cares.

Passages where $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ appear in the accusative reveal the following.

- (1) Activity takes place in respect to $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} v/\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ which share in it. $\Phi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are a location and participant.
- (2) $\Phi_{\varrho\eta\nu}/\varphi_{\varrho\xi\nu\varepsilon\varsigma}$ are, as in Homer, very much open to outside influences and the actions of other people and gods ⁴⁹).
- (3) In the lyric poets $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are influenced directly by the person having them. This suggests a greater distinction between person and $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$, with the control of person over $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ more clearly defined.

IV. Genitive

Whereas in Homer the genitive of $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ is not found, in Hesiod and the lyric poets it occurs in the singular and the plural. First, $\varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are the location of $\vartheta \nu \mu \dot{\delta} \varsigma$: Bacchylides mentions $\vartheta \nu \mu \dot{\delta} \varsigma$ $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ (17.22). Next, the genitive in two cases follows the verb:

Copyright (c) 2007 ProQuest LLC Copyright (c) Vandenhoek und Ruprecht

⁴⁷) Arch. 96.1–2; Arch. 172.2. Cf. Alc. 336 (Z 12) where someone (?) has removed $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$.

⁴⁸⁾ Theog. 87 = 1082c. For discussion of this passage see Darcus (note 38).

⁴⁹) For bibliography on the "openness" of φρένες see above note 25.

φρενὸς $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu a$ ἐξέλοι (Pind., Nem. 4.8) and φρενῶν ἀποσφαλείς (Sol. 33.4). The singular genitive also appears with πόθι when Pindar asks the son of Archestratus to read the ode where it is written on his $\varphi \rho \dot{\eta} v$ (Ol. 10.2).

Hesiod mentions a $\delta\varrho\mu\dot{\eta}$ of a $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu$ (fr. 204.120). Pindar speaks of fear stopping the $d\varkappa\mu\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ (Nem. 3.39). He also speaks of $\mu\dot{\eta}\delta\epsilon a$ $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ (Pae. 9.37), $\varkappa a\varrho\pi\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ or $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ (Pyth. 2.73; Ol. 7.8), σύνεσις $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ (Nem. 7.60), $d\mu\pi\lambda\alpha\varkappa(a\iota)$ $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ (Pyth. 3.13), and $\tau a\varrho\alpha\chi\dot{\alpha}\iota$ $\varphi\varrho\epsilon\nu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ (Ol. 7.30). In these passages $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ occur as both a subjective and objective genitive. With $\mu\dot{\eta}\delta\epsilon a$, σύνεσις, and $\varkappa a\varrho\pi\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ this is subjective; with $\tau a\varrho\alpha\chi\dot{\alpha}\iota$ it is objective. In the case of $d\mu\pi\lambda\alpha\varkappa(a\iota)$, there is ambiguity: $d\mu\pi\lambda\alpha\varkappa(a\iota)$ assail $\varphi\varrho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\varsigma$ (Pind., Ol. 7.24) but $\varphi\varrho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ may also be the source of these $d\mu\pi\lambda\alpha\varkappa(a\iota)$, as here with Coronis.

The objective genitive(s) indicate(s) $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ as a location open to outside influences. The subjective genitives point to $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ as an active agent, in these instances especially one of intellectual activity.

V. Nominative and Vocative

In Hesiod and the lyric poets occurrences of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ in the nominative are fewer than instances in the dative or accusative. The nominative singular occurs six times, the nominative plural nine times. First, $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ occurs three times with a passive verb. Hesiod says that Zeus' $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ are filled with $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma^{50}$). Anacreon says that his $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ have been made "deaf and dumb" ($\mathring{\epsilon}\varkappa\varkappa\epsilon\varkappa\omega\varphi\acute{\epsilon}\alpha\tau a\iota$: 421 [= W ia. 4]) Pindar speaks of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ that are not $\varphi\acute{\delta}\varphi$ $\varkappa\epsilon\chi\epsilon\acute{\iota}\mu\alpha\nu\tau a\iota$ (Pyth. 9.32). Second, $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ sings (Terp. 697; Adesp. 955.1), cries out (Pind., Pyth. 6.36), and "acts" ($\pi\varrho\acute{\alpha}\sigma\omega$) by $\betao\nu\lambda\alpha\acute{\iota}$ (Pind., Nem. 1.27). $\Phi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ forget, set out or prescribe ($\chi\varrho\acute{\alpha}\omega$) proper ways of living, and pay penalties after death 51). In these cases $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ are active.

In the remaining instances of the nominative $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} v$ and $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} v \varepsilon \varsigma$ occur with a copulative verb. In five cases the verb $\varepsilon i \mu i$ is understood. Phocylides says that $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} v$ is sharper in making plans at night (8 [D]). Pindar says that $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} v$ is sweet to mingle with fellow-drinkers (Pyth. 6.52). He speaks too of $\varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} v \varepsilon \varsigma$ that are $\varkappa o \~v \varphi \iota u$ and $\tau v \varphi \iota \iota u$ (Ol. 8.61;

⁵⁰) Hes., Theog.. 688. Cf. Il. 1.103 and Od. 4.661-662.

⁵¹) Pind., Pyth. 4.41 (cf. Π . 6.285, the one instance of the nominative singular of $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu$ in Homer); Pind., Ol. 7.91; Pind., Ol. 2.57.

Pae. 7b18). Pindar also mentions $\varphi \varrho \acute{e} \imath \epsilon \varsigma$ that are too quick to praise $\varkappa \acute{e} \varrho \delta o \varsigma$ (Pyth. 4.139).

In one instance only does $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu$ appear in the vocative. Pindar bids his $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu$ to leave aside certain modes of song and to exhaust the $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha \nu \acute{\alpha}$ at hand (Pae. 4.50).

These passages where $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ appear in the nominative show that this psychic organ in the lyric poets is a more active agent within man than it was in Homer. $\Phi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ now perform definite activities within a person. In six passages $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ appear with active verbs; in addition two instances of the nominative with $\varepsilon\dot{l}\mu\dot{l}$ imply activity: $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu$ can "mingle" and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ "praise". The one example where $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu$ occurs in the vocative emphasises the distinction of person and $\varphi\varrho\dot{\eta}\nu$ and suggests the possibility of opposition between a person and this psychic organ ⁵²).

VI. Conclusion

The grammatical usage suggests that Hesiod and the lyric poets see $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu$ and $\varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ in the following ways.

- (1) As in Homer, the location where a person performs certain emotional, volitional, and intellectual functions (prepositions with the noun in the dative, accusative or genitive, locative dative, accusative of respect, or objective genitive).
- (2) As in Homer, an instrument or accompaniment by which or in the company of which a person acts (comitative-instrumental dative and the accusative of respect which may also suggest that φρήν/φρένες act with the person).
- (3) As in Homer, the object of some activity signified by a verb. In several cases the subject is another person, the gods, or an outside influence (dative or accusative after a verb; nominative in the passive).

they are too fragmentary or the context is unclear: Alcman 3.1; Stesich. S 88 i 19; Sapph. 3.15; 43.6; 103.9; S 260.9; S 273.5; Alc. 5 (A 5.5); 39 (B 7.9); 140 (H 10.1); 358 (Z 35.1); Inc. Lesb. 5.2; Pind., Pac. 7a.5; fr. 173.5; fr. 223.3; Pind., Pap. Ox. 1792 fr. 4.4; 2440 fr. 1.5; 2450 fr. 1 ii 17; Corinna 654 iii 45; 692 fr. 3.4; Bacch. fr. 20 E 20; Sim. 519 fr. 35b4 (D); Adesp. S 366.4; S 457.2. I have omitted discussion of qeerl in Xenophanes B 25 (Diels-Kranz) since I have treated this fragment in full in "The Phren of the Noos in Xenophanes' God", SO 53 (1978) 25-40.

- (4) An object of some activity of a verb where the person acts directly with or affects his own $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ (dative or accusative with a verb).
- (5) As in Homer, an entity qualified by certain characteristics (epithets with $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} v / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} v \varepsilon \varsigma$).
- (6) An active agent within the person (subjective genitive, nominative, and vocative).

In Hesiod and the lyric poets, just as in Homer, the relationship between a person and his $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ can be one of coöperation: he may act in, by means of, or in company with them. In this situation $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are more than a location or instrument: they also participate in different psychic activities. Person and $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are distinct but act in harmony.

Examination of the grammatical usage in Hesiod and the Greek lyric poets, however, also reveals differences between Homeric and later usage. First, in the lyric poets $\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ are more active in man than they were in Homer. They function with greater independence. Further, the one instance where Pindar addresses $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu$ suggests a possibility of opposition between person and this psychic organ. The distinction between person and $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{e}\nu\varepsilon\varsigma$ is thus clearer: this psychic organ can act independently from the person and perhaps oppose him.

As $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ become more active, man's control over them increases. In the lyric poets a person can delight, master, conceal, or free $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ from care. In these instances he exerts direct influence on this psychic organ. He can likewise use or trust $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$, though in these cases he possibly trusts the activity of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ more than he controls them. The increased independent activity of $\varphi\varrho\acute{\eta}\nu/\varphi\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\varepsilon\zeta$ within a person may be the cause of this corresponding increase in his influence on them. Man must now exert greater control over a psychic organ that is becoming more active.

In Hesiod and the lyric poets the relation of a person to his $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ can be one of coöperation. In the lyric poets it can also be one of greater control of person over this psychic organ which at the same time begins to act in him with greater independence. As a result both person and $\varphi \varrho \acute{\eta} \nu / \varphi \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ emerge more distinctly in these later poets than in Homer.